

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/233,218	01/20/1999	CLAIRE A CAJACOB	04983.0025.U	7809
20001	590 04/21/2003 DODTED		EXAM	INER .
ARNOLD & PORTER IP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT; RM 1126(b) 555 12TH STREET, N.W.			KIM, YOUNG J	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-1206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637 DATE MAILED: 04/21/2003	79

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/233,218	CAJACOB ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	Young J. Kim	1637
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 07 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and indication of the application	tion. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFF f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply on the later than three months after the mailing period for reply of the shortened statutory period for sho	g date of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ant of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);	
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid	lered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 10-23</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet	9	ENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER
		4/17/03

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicants' have amended claim 1 (and therefore, its dependent claims 10 and 22), wherein the limitation which requires that the polynucleotide encode a glutamyl tRNA reductase enzyme or a fragment thereof has been deleted, effectively making the claims drawn to a polynucleotide comprising a set of SEQ ID Numbers and their complements. It is determined that the present Amendment, does not raise new issues for the purpose of Appeal because the issues surrounding the amendment was already addressed in claims 11-21, which were similar in scope. However, the present Amendment does not overcome the Final Rejection maile out on January 27, 2003, for reasons already set forth in the Final Rejection.

